

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/387,832	05/26/95	BEATTY		G	M&G-9895-5-U
		QM32/0511	一	EXAMINER	
DANIEL A. TYSVER				COHEN, L	
	VER,P.L.L.P	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1011 FIRST HOPKINS MN	STREET SOU 55343	TH #440		3739	24
				DATE MAILED.	05/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. 08/387,832 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Beatty et al

Office Action Summary

Lee S. Cohen

Group Art Unit 3739



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 24, 2000		·					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .							
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the pe	riod for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims							
	is/a	re pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.					
☐ Claim(s)							
Claim(s)							
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement							
Application Papers							
$\square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	view, PTO-948.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected t	o by the Examiner.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_ is _approved	☐disapproved.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
☐ received.							
$\hfill \square$ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number		·					
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*Certified copies not received:							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).					
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413							
$\square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE I	OLLOWING PAGES -						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/387,832

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Claims 18-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

the invention. Claim 18 should specify the electrodes being in the distal portion of the weave to

facilitate their use. Claim 19 - "wire" in the last line should be plural. Claim 20 is unclear as to

what element has a proximal non-expanding portion. Claim 27 - "connection" (first occurrence) in

the penultimate line should be plural. Claim 28 - "wire" should be plural. Claim 30 - in c), it is

unclear that the wires form the array.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Chilson et al. The catheter assembly has at least twenty-four wires and electrodes which are

expandable.

Application/Control Number: 08/387,832

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Webster, Jr.. The catheter assembly has at least twenty-four wires and electrodes which are expandable.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,311,866. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent an obvious change in scope.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lee S. Cohen at telephone number (703) 308-2998.

Lee Cohen Primary Examiner